

Mayoral Committee for recommendation to Council

REPORT ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE SUPPLY CHAIN MANAGEMENT POLICY FOR THE QUARTER 01 OCTOBER TO 31 DECEMBER 2016

Department: Finance **Demarcation:** All Wards

File Ref: 8/1/5/5/2

Attachment: **Annexure A:** Tenders and Quotations Awarded for the period October to December 2016
Annexure B: Comparative Tender Opening Schedule
Annexure C: Deviations approved by delegated officials
Annexure D: Schedule of Procurement Process Timeline

Report from: Acting Chief Financial Officer

Author **Manager: Supply Chain Management**

Date: 04 January 2017

1. PURPOSE OF THE REPORT

1.1. Report on the Implementation of the Supply Chain Management Policy, for the 2nd Quarter, 01 October to 31 December 2016 of the 2016/17 financial year.

2. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

- 2.1. The Local Government: Municipal Finance Management Act, No 56 of 2003, requires the municipality to have and implement a Supply Chain Management Policy which gives effect to the provisions of Part 1 of Chapter 11 of the Act that deals with 'Supply Chain Management'.
- 2.2. On the 30th May 2005 the Municipal Supply Chain Management Regulations were promulgated. The Bitou Municipality Supply Chain Management Policy was approved in terms of these Regulations, by Council on December 14, 2005 at a legally constituted Council meeting.
- 2.3. Section 117 of the MFMA prohibits a Councillor from being a member of a bid committee or any other committee evaluating or approving quotations or tenders, the SCM Policy requires per Section 6 that Council has an oversight role to ensure that the Accounting Officer implements all supply chain management activities in accordance with the SCM Regulations and the Bitou Municipality Supply Chain Management Policy Incorporating Preferential Procurement (SCM Policy).
- 2.4. Section 6(3) of the SCM Policy requires that the Accounting Officer submits a quarterly report on the implementation of the Supply Chain Management Policy to the Executive Mayor.

2.5. The report contains information about operational activities within the Supply Chain Management Section. In order to comply with this requirement, we attach as Annexure A schedules of Tenders and Formal Written Price Quotations Awarded, as well as Deviations from the SCM Policy, considered and approved by the delegated officials, per Annexure C for the 2nd Quarter of the 2016/17 financial year.

3. BACKGROUND

3.1. Section 6(3) of the Bitou Supply Chain Management Policy Incorporating Preferential Procurement (SCM Policy) requires that the Accounting Officer submits a quarterly report on the implementation of the Policy to the Executive Mayor.

3.2. This report contains schedules of Tenders and Formal Written Quotations Awarded, as well as Deviations from the SCM Policy, considered and approved by the delegated officials, for the 2nd Quarter, **01 October to 31 December 2016**, of the 2016/17 financial year.

4. Tenders Awarded: Competitive Bidding for all Contracts valued more than R30 000

4.1. The competitive bidding process and bid committee structures are functioning effectively. The Bid Committees are composed of the following members:

#	Committee	Composition & Function
1.	Bid Specification Committee- BSC	The project manager and a Senior SCM Practitioner- Considers tender specifications and approve or recommend for approval to the HOD
2.	Bid Evaluation Committee- BEC	The project manager, a Senior SCM Practitioner and any other Manager- Considers tender evaluation reports and makes recommendations to the BAC
3.	Bid Adjudication Committee- BAC	At least 3 Heads of Department and a Senior SCM Practitioner, with the CFO as Chairperson. (Not the same SCM Practitioner as BEC)- Considers recommendations from the BEC and make awards or recommend to the MM to award if R 10 million and more.

4.2. Members of the bid committees are required to declare to undertake the following:

4.2.1. That all information, documentation and decisions regarding any matter before the committee is confidential and undertakes not to make known anything in this regard;

4.2.2. To treat all service providers and potential service providers equitably and will not purposefully favour or prejudice anybody; and

4.2.3. To make known details of any private or business interest he or she or any close family member, partner or associate may have in any proposed procurement or disposal of, or in any award or contract that they will immediately withdraw from participating in any matter whatsoever.

Section 2: Finance

4.3. The members of the Bid Committees have duly undertaken to uphold the confidentiality, to be fair in all dealings and to declare their personal interests, if any. None of the members had conflicts of interest to declare.

5. Tender Awards

5.1. The awards made by bid committees or the delegated officials, attached per **Annexure A**, are valued in excess of R 122,506 million for 34 Tenders & Formal Written Price Quotations awarded.

5.2. We also report in terms of all contracts awarded as follows:

5.2.1. B-BBEE compliant enterprises

5.2.2. Enterprises within the Bitou Municipality Area

5.2.3. B-BBEE Enterprises within the Bitou Municipality Area

5.3. The schedule compares the results with the comparative quarter of the previous financial year as well as the year, as follows:

#	Description	Year-to-Date-2016/17	2 nd Quarter-2016/17	1st Quarter-2016/17	2015/16
1.	Tenders Awarded	34	16	18	85
2.	Contracts concluded resulting from the tenders. (Individual suppliers or service providers appointed as a result of the tenders awarded)	50	23	27	132
3.	Estimated Value of Tenders Awarded	R 122 506 219	R 74 890 507	R 47 615 712	R 256,192 million
4.	Number of Appeals Received	1	0	1	0
5.	Number of Appeals in Progress	3 (2 of Q2- 2014/15 still in progress)			
6.	Number of successful appeals	0	0	0	0
7.	Number of Contracts Awarded to B-BBEE Enterprises	42	19	23	114
8.	Value of Contracts Awarded to B-BBEE Enterprises	R 105 904 395	R 65 098 782	R 40 805 612	R 226,181 million
9.	% of Contracts Awarded to B-BBEE Enterprises	86%	87%	86%	88%
10.	Number of Contracts Awarded to Enterprises based in the Bitou Municipality Area	12	3	9	48
11.	Value of Contracts Awarded to Enterprises based in the Bitou Municipality Area	R 23 248 750	R 14 431 673	R 8 817 076	R 72,790 million
12.	% of Contracts Awarded to Enterprises based in the Bitou Municipality Area vs All Contracts	19%	19%	19%	28.41%

Section 2: Finance

#	Description	Year-to-Date-2016/17	2 nd Quarter-2016/17	1st Quarter-2016/17	2015/16
13.	Number of Contracts Awarded to B-BBEE Enterprises based in the Bitou Municipality Area	10	2	8	42
14.	Value of Contracts Awarded to B-BBEE Enterprises	R 21 238 220	R 12 424 984	R 8 813 236	R 69,573 million
15.	% of Contracts Awarded to B-BBEE Enterprises based in the Bitou Municipality Area vs All Contracts	18%	17%	19%	27%

- 5.4.** The awards above include 2 contracts awarded to respectively Roy Steele & Associates, for SCM/2017/82/CORP- Selection and Appointment of all Section 56/Senior Management positions and Gijima Holdings (Pty) Ltd for SCM/2017/98/CORP: Provision of Competency Assessment for Municipal Managers and Managers directly accountable to Municipal Managers. The awards were made in compliance with Section 32 of Councils SCM Policy, which allows the procurement of goods or services, procured by another municipality. The awards were made after ensuring due diligence in all respects.
- 5.5.** All tenders are opened in public and the results of the opening are recorded in a Bid Opening Register and placed on the Municipal Website. A schedule attached as **Annexure B**, details the tenders opened and awarded versus the unsuccessful competitive bids as per additional Council oversight request.

6. Procurement Process Timeline

- 6.1.** Council also requires statistical information regarding the time taken to process a tender in terms of the SCM Policy. A schedule is attached as **Annexure D** indicating the timelines for a full procurement cycle underlying each tender award, in terms of the following criteria:

#	Description of Measurement Criteria per Tender	Average Calendar Days-2016/17-Year-to-Date	Average Calendar Days-2016/17-Q2	Average Calendar Days-2016/17-Q1	Average Calendar Days-2015/16
1.	Average Calendar Days from Bid Specification Committee (BSC) to Bid Adjudication Committee (BAC)- Full Procurement Cycle	75	86	63	109
2.	Average Calendar Days from Tender Advertisement Date to BAC	63	68	58	90
3.	Average Calendar Days from Tender Closing Date to BAC	45	49	41	72
4.	Average Calendar Days from Tender Closing Date to BEC- Tender Evaluation	40	43	36	67
5.	Tender with Fastest Procurement Process: BSC to BAC	26	44	8	27

Section 2: Finance

#	Description of Measurement Criteria per Tender	Average Calendar Days- 2016/17- Year-to-Date	Average Calendar Days- 2016/17- Q2	Average Calendar Days- 2016/17- Q1	Average Calendar Days- 2015/16
6.	Tender with Longest Procurement Process: BSC to BAC	78	84	72	181

6.2. The time required for the processing of tenders have increased since the 1st quarter, but has remained shorter than the turnaround times achieved during the previous financial year. The main reasons for any perceived delays in the processing of tenders might be ascribed to the high number of tender documents received, additional time allowed to bidders to clarify matters of uncertainty in order to minimise risk, long lists of requirements for long-term tenders as well as the complexity of tender evaluations experienced by project managers.

7. DEVIATIONS FROM THE SCM POLICY

7.1. Section 36(1) of Council’s Supply Chain Management Policy allows the Accounting Officer to dispense with the official procurement processes under certain circumstances. During the 2nd Quarter of the 2016/17 financial year, 45 deviations (Q1- 2016/17- 23) considered and approved to the value of **R2,072,040** (Q1- 2016/17- **R4,631,636**) represented by applications as per **Annexure C**.

7.2. Deviations were approved in the following categories provided for in terms of Section 36(1) of the SCM Policy with comparative figures for the comparative quarter of the previous financial year:

Section from SCM Policy	Categories	Number of Deviations Approved		
		2016/17 Year to Date (2015/2016)	Q2: 2016/17	Q1: 2016/17
36(1)(a)(i)	Section 36(1)(a)(i)- In an emergency which is considered an unforeseeable and sudden event with materially harmful or potentially materially harmful consequences for the municipality which requires urgent action to address	0	0	0
		(14)		
36(1)(a)(ii)	Section 36(1)(a)(ii)- Where it can be demonstrated that goods or services are produced or available from a single provider only	9	6	3
		(27)		
36(1)(a)(iii)	Section 36(1)(a)(iii)- For the acquisition of special works of art or historical objects where specifications are difficult to compile	0	0	0
		(3)		

Section 2: Finance

Section from SCM Policy	Categories	Number of Deviations Approved		
		2016/17 Year to Date (2015/2016)	Q2: 2016/17	Q1: 2016/17
36(1)(a)(v)	Section 36(1)(a)(v)- Exceptional case and it is impractical or impossible to follow the official procurement processes	59	39	20
		(111)		
	Total	68	45	23
	Total	(155)		

7.3. The applications for deviations are monitored continuously in an attempt to reduce the incidents and competitive bidding processes are instituted immediately upon identification of recurring deviations, wherever possible.

Section from SCM Policy	Categories	Value (R) of Deviations Approved	Value (R) of Deviations Approved	Value (R) of Deviations Approved	Value (R) of Deviations Approved
		2016/17 Year to Date	Q2: 2016/17	Q1: 2016/17	2015/16 (Quantity)
36(1)(a)(i)	Section 36(1)(a)(i)- In an emergency which is considered an unforeseeable and sudden event with materially harmful or potentially materially harmful consequences for the municipality which requires urgent action to address	-	-	-	R1 334 998
36(1)(a)(ii)	Section 36(1)(a)(ii)- Where it can be demonstrated that goods or services are produced or available from a single provider only	R 122 821	R 78 190	R 44 631	R763 411
36(1)(a)(iii)	Section 36(1)(a)(iii)- For the acquisition of special works of art or historical objects where specifications are difficult to compile	-	-	-	R32 100
36(1)(a)(v)	Section 36(1)(a)(v)- Exceptional case and it is impractical or impossible to follow the official procurement processes	R 6 580 855	R 1 993 850	R 4 587 004	R10 018 809
	Total	R 6 703 676	R 2 072 040	R 4 631 636	R12 174 620

8. RELEVANT LEGISLATION

- 8.1. Local Government: Municipal Finance Management Act 2003, (Act 56 of 2003)
- 8.2. Local Government: Municipal Finance Management Act 2003, (Act 56 of 2003):
Municipal Supply Chain Management Regulations
- 8.3. Bitou Municipality Supply Chain Management Policy Incorporating Preferential Procurement

9. CONCLUSION

- 9.1. That Council notes the Supply Chain Management Implementation Report for the 2nd Quarter, October to December 2016, of the 2016/17 financial year in terms of Section 6(3) of the SCM Policy.
- 9.2. That Council notes the Deviations approved by the Municipal Manager for the 2nd Quarter, October to December 2016, of the 2016/17 financial year, in compliance with Section 36(2) of the SCM Policy.

Comments: Head Corporate Services

The recommendation of the Municipal Manager is supported

Comments: Head Community Services

The recommendation of the Municipal Manager is supported

Comments: Head Engineering Services

The recommendation of the Municipal Manager is supported

Comments: Head Strategic Services

The recommendation of the Municipal Manager is supported

Recommendation by the Municipal Manager

10. That Council notes the Supply Chain Management Implementation Report for the 2nd Quarter, October to December 2016, of the 2016/17 financial year in terms of Section 6(3) of the SCM Policy.
11. That Council notes the Deviations approved by the Municipal Manager for the 2nd Quarter, October to December 2016, of the 2016/17 financial year, in compliance with Section 36(2) of the SCM Policy.